

Evaluation Form

In thanking you for your scholarly contribution, we kindly remind you that its evaluation will be communicated to the author in an anonymous format and will not be disclosed for any reason.

The objective of peer review is to individuate the most efficient method for judging, in the best and most impartial way, the quality of submitted essays. In the elaboration of the essay's review, both the editorial board of ArNovIt and the referee will adhere to the following guidelines:

- Does the essay contain interpretative and/or philological innovations?
- -Could the essay be modified in order to highlight the central focus of its thesis?
- -Could the coherence of its argument be improved?

Which of the essay's elements are disputable?

It will be useful for the author to receive clear and constructive comments. If there are further observations to be communicated to the editors but not to the author, we ask you to indicate them in the message to which this form is attached.

Further clarifications may be requested by the editorial board.



Title of the article:

Have you already expressed an opinion on this article for another publication?

Yes/No

Do you know the author of this article?

Yes/No

Do you maintain an academic relationship with the author of this article?

Yes/No

Has the author already asked for your personal opinion on this article?

Yes/No

Does the article seem clear and coherent?

Very/Somewhat/Not very/Not at all

Does the article seem original within its scholarly context?

Very/Somewhat/Not very/Not at all

Does the methodology utilized seem adequate?

Very/Somewhat/Not very/Not at all

Does the bibliography cited seem adequate?

Very/Somewhat/Not very/Not at all

Does the article seem to be publishable in its current form?

Yes/Yes, with minor revisions/Yes, with substantial revisions/No

If you maintain that the article needs revisions and bibliographic additions, please indicate them here, specifying how and where you would suggest changes to the text. To further clarify your choices, please propose here a concise evaluation of the contribution.